UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK IN RE: Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation Anastasia Gray, et al. v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer, Inc. Case Name: Case Name:

SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

I. FILING OF SHORT FORM COMPLAINT

Case No.:

	Plaintiff(s) hereby file their Complaint by way This Complaint is filed pursuant to Ord	`	,	238). Plai	ntiff(s)
hereby	designate(s) the United	States	District	Court	for
the	Western District of Tennessee	a	s Plaintiff(s)' home	venue
("Home	Venue"), as this case may have originally	been filed	d there becau	se:	
	The APAP product(s) Plaintiff-M Minor were purchased and/or used TN ▼ (state).				
	Plaintiff-minor was born in				_(city),
	A substantial part of other events of there, to wit:				
	At least Defendant district and all defendants are resolution.				
	This case originally was filed in for the		and was tran	sferred to th	

by the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation.

II. PLAINTIFF(S) INFORMATION

Plaintiff(s) are the following individuals (check all boxes which apply and fill out all
information for s	elected Plaintiff(s)):
✔ Plaintiff-	Mother (name): Anastasia Gray
■ S	tate of Residence: TN
■ S	tate of Citizenship: TN
• F	iling Capacity:
	✓ As Guardian, on behalf of Plaintiff Child
	✓ Individually
✓ Plaintiff	Child #1 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
A.M.	
■ S	tate of Residence: TN
■ S	tate of Citizenship: TN
• Y	ear of Birth (yyyy): 2012
■ Ir	ijury:
	Autism Spectrum Disorder
	Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Plaintiff	Child #2 (full name, or initials if Plaintiff Child is currently a minor):
■ S	tate of Residence:
■ S	rate of Citizenship:

■ Year of Birth (yyyy):
■ Injury:
Autism Spectrum Disorder
Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder
Other Plaintiff(s):
■ Capacity to assert claim(s) (e.g., other parent, guardian, conservator, administrator,
executor):
■ State of Residence:
■ State of Citizenship:
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) include additional Plaintiff(s) who are immediate family members or who solely assert derivative claims, but are not otherwise listed above due to space constraints, please check here and list the additional Plaintiff's name, capacity to assert claims, state of residence, state of citizenship, and pertinent factual and legal claims on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
In the rare instance that Plaintiff(s) seek(s) to include additional Plaintiff-Children, please check here and list the additional name (or initials, if the Plaintiff Child is currently a minor), state of residence, state of citizenship, year of birth, and injury on a separate sheet of paper.
See attached
III. INCORPORATION OF MASTER COMPLAINT(S)
Plaintiff(s) incorporate by reference the allegations contained in the below indicated First
Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in In Re: Acetaminophen -
ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043, on July 25, 2023:
The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against
Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. (DE 774).

		• <i>Note:</i> Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.A in addition to the above sections.
		The First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand
		Against the Retailer Defendants (DE 773).
		• <i>Note:</i> Plaintiffs incorporating this First Amended Master Complaint must complete Section IV.B in addition to the above sections.
IV.	DEFI	ENDANT(S)
	A.	Manufacturer Defendant
		1. Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Manufacturer Defendant selected
below	:	
	✓ Jo	ohnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.
		Plaintiff Child #1:
		Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
		 Tylenol Regular[®] ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		 ✓ Tylenol Extra Strength® ■ Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		Sep ▼ 2011 ▼ to Feb ▼ 2012 ▼
		Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v _to v

Other:	
•	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
Plaintiff Child #2 (if r	more than one Plaintiff Child):
 Johnson & Johnson with Plaintiff 	nnson Consumer Inc. Product(s) Mother took while pregnant Child #2:
Tyleno	l Regular [®] Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Regular</u> ® while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v _to v
Tyleno	l Extra Strength® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
☐ Tyleno •	l Extra Strength Rapid Release Gels® Date range Mother took <u>Tylenol Extra Strength Rapid Release®</u> while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v _to v
Other:	Date range Mother took Other Product while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v _to v

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* as if fully set forth herein.

Case 1:23-cv-09585-DLC Document 1 Filed 11/01/23 Page 6 of 24

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc.* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (*i.e.* not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below)):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
•	>			Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
•	>			Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
V	✓			Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
V	~			Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the box(es) above for which misrepresentation is an element
Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the First Amended Master Long
Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. Any additional
Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation by omission must be set forth here
None.

Case 1:23-cv-09585-DLC Document 1 Filed 11/01/23 Page 7 of 24

The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint. (Please state additional claims and the factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed. None. В. Retailer Defendant(s): Plaintiff(s) allege claims against the Retailer Defendants selected below. By checking a box against a Retailer Defendant, Plaintiff(s) allege their claims arise out of the acetaminophen store brands identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants or otherwise specify additional products below: 7-Eleven, Inc. Plaintiff Child #1: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): \blacktriangledown to Location(s) where purchased (City, State): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants: Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): \mathbf{v}_{to} Location(s) where purchased (City, State):

Case 1:23-cv-09585-DLC Document 1 Filed 11/01/23 Page 8 of 24

•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Big Lots, Inc.	•
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	v _to v
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
	#2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

C	ostco Whole	esale Corporation
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

C	VS Pharma	acy, Inc.
	Plaintiff C	Thild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		▼ to ▼
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant
		with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

olgencorp, l	LLC
Plaintiff C	hild #1:
•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	lacktriangledown to
-	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
-	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
	Plaintiff C

Do	ollar Tree S	Stores, Inc.
•	Plaintiff C	hild #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	_	Stand Durand Durando at (a) Mathematical soulcite management with Disintiff Child
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child):
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	_	
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 Two if not identified in the <i>First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants</i> :

Fa	amily Dolla	r Stores, LLC
•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v _to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		v to v
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:

Th	ie Kroger (Co.				
•	Plaintiff Child #1:					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

R	ite Aid Cor	poration				
•	Plaintiff C	Thild #1:				
	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
		v to v				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with				
		Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

Sa	afeway, Inc						
•	■ Plaintiff Child #1:						
	•	■ Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant v Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
		▼					
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					

Sa	am's West I	nc.				
•	Plaintiff Child #1:					
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		v _to v				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		Trainer Clind $\pi 2$ (limit yyyy to limit yyyy). To				
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

Ta	rget Corp	oration				
■ Plaintiff Child #1:						
	•	Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
		▼				
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				

algreen Co).				
Plaintiff Child #1:					
 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant wire Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 					
	v to v				
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):				
	▼ vo vo vo				
•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):				
•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:				
	Plaintiff C				

W	almart Inc	•					
•	Plaintiff Child #1:						
	•	 Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant wit Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy): 					
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					
•	Plaintiff C	hild #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):					
		▼ to ▼					
	•	Location(s) where purchased (City, State):					
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2 if not identified in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants:					

•	Plaintiff C	Child #1:
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1:
		Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #1 (mm/yyyy to mm/yyyy):
		Location(s) where purchased (City, State):
•	Plaintiff C	Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child): Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2:
•		Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child
	•	Store Brand Product(s) Mother took while pregnant with Plaintiff Child #2: Date range Mother took Store Brand Product(s) while pregnant with

2. Plaintiff(s) hereby adopt(s) and incorporate(s) by reference the *First*Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants as if fully set forth herein.

3. The following claims and allegations asserted in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants* are herein adopted by Plaintiff-Mother in her own right (i.e. not covered by claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff Child(ren)), Plaintiff Child(ren), and/or Plaintiff-Other (check all applicable boxes below):

Plaintiff- Mother (Individually)	Plaintiff Child #1	Plaintiff Child #2 (if more than one Plaintiff Child)	Plaintiff-Other (Individually)	Claim/Allegation
				Count I: Strict Liability for Failure to Warn
				Count II: Strict Liability for Design Defect Due to Inadequate Warnings and Precautions
				Count III: Negligence
				Count IV: Negligent Misrepresentation By Omission (Limited to: Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nevada, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, and Wisconsin)
				Count V: Breach of Implied Warranty

4. In checking the boxes above for which misrepresentation is an element, Plaintiff(s) adopt(s) and incorporate(s) the allegations made in the *First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants*. Any additional Plaintiff(s)-specific allegations as to the alleged misrepresentation must be set forth here:

22

5. The following claims and allegations asserted are not included in the
First Amended Master Long Form Complaint and Jury Demand Against Retailer Defendants
and are herein added to Plaintiffs' Short Form Complaint (Please state additional claims and the
factual and legal basis for them below or on a separate sheet if more space is needed):

V. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray(s) for relief and demand(s) a trial by jury as set forth in the First Amended Master Long Form Complaint(s) and Jury Demand(s) filed in *In Re:* Acetaminophen – ASD-ADHD Products Liability Litigation, MDL No. 3043 (S.D.N.Y.), on July 25, 2023.

Dated: Nov ▼	▼ 1 ▼ 2023 ▼	Respectfully submitted,				
		/S/ Jennifer Neal				
	Attorney Name: Jennifer Neal					
	Attorney Firm: Watts Guerra LLP					
	Attorney Address Line 1:					
	875 E Ashby Pl, Suite 1200					
	Attorney Address Line 2:					
	San Antonio, Texas 78212					
	Telephone: (210) 447-0500					
	Fax: (210) 447-0501					
	Attorney Email: jneal@wattsguerra.com					
	Counsel for Plaintiff(s):					
	Anastasia Gray, et al.					